COMITATO SCIENTIFICO

G. AUGUSTI (Presidente) - Univ. Roma “La Sapienza”
A. M. ANILE - Università di Catania
G. BURESTI - Università di Pisa
L. CORRADI - Politecnico di Milano
S. DELLA VALLE - Università di Napoli
M. DI PAOLA - Università di Palermo
G. OLIVETO - Università di Catania
M. PANDOLFI - Politecnico di Torino
B. PIZZIGONI - Politecnico di Milano

COMITATO ORGANIZZATORE

F. PETRONE (Presidente) - Università di Catania
A. MORRO (Segr. AIMETA) - Università di Genova
G. MUSCOLINO - Università di Messina
S. RIZZO - Università di Palermo

COMITATO DIRETTIVO AIMETA

G. CAPRIZ (Presidente) - Università di Pisa
G. AUGUSTI - Univ. Roma “La Sapienza”
R. BASSANI - Università di Pisa
R. CONTRO - Politecnico di Milano
E. MARCHI - Università di Genova
A. MORRO - Università di Genova
M. PANDOLFI - Politecnico di Torino
**Sessioni Parallele**

SP_GE  Meccanica Generale  
SP_FL  Meccanica dei Fluidi  
SP_MA  Meccanica delle Macchine  
SP_SO  Meccanica dei Solidi  
SP_ST  Meccanica delle Strutture  

**Sessioni Speciali**

SS_ENC  Energie Non Convesse in Meccanica dei Materiali  
SS_MFR  Meccanica della Frattura  
SS_DAN  Danneggiamento Meccanico nei Materiali Compositi  
SS_MCO  Meccanica Computazionale  

**International Minisymposia**

MS_BIO  Mechanics of Tissues and Implants  
MS_MUF  Multifield Theories in Mechanics of Materials  
MS_INT  Interaction Problems in Structural Mechanics
A MECHANICAL MODEL FOR MIXED-MODE BUCKLING-DRIVEN DELAMINATION GROWTH

S. BENNATI\(^1\) and P. S. VALVO\(^1\)

\(^1\) Dipartimento di Ingegneria Strutturale, Università di Pisa, Pisa

ABSTRACT

The paper presents a mechanical model for buckling-driven delamination growth in composite laminates. The laminate, subjected to an in-plane compressive load, is modelled as the union of two sublaminates bonded together by an elastic interface, the last being a continuous distribution of linear elastic springs acting both normally and tangentially to its plane. Hence, the normal and tangential interlaminar stresses, exerted between the sublaminates, can be deduced, and the explicit expressions for the mode-I and II contributions to the energy release rate and, consequently, the mode-mixity angle derived. A first comparison with experimental data available in the literature seems to confirm the model’s effectiveness.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fibre-reinforced composite laminates are used in many civil and industrial engineering applications, where, thanks to their very high strength and stiffness and their low specific weight, they are gradually supplementing, or even replacing, traditional structural materials. On the other hand, composite laminates have also proved to be very sensitive to damage by environmental factors or localised defects. Of these latter types of damage, delaminations are both very dangerous and very common, as they may arise due to manufacturing errors (e.g., by an imperfect curing process) or in-service accidents (e.g., by low-velocity impacts) \[1, 2\].
When a laminated plate containing a delamination is loaded under compression, the instability phenomena that arise may promote crack growth and, in some cases, lead to failure of the whole structure. In order to model the process of combined buckling and crack growth, the methods of non-linear structural analysis can be used to deal with the equilibrium problem, while the delamination growth can be described through fracture mechanics [3, 4]. The earliest studies on the subject assumed the total potential energy release rate, \( G \), as the parameter signalling the onset of crack growth [5, 6]. Actually, \( G \) has often been preferred to other parameters, such as stress-intensity factors, because the calculations involved are easier (e.g., by means of invariant integrals) [7]. However, experimental studies on delamination in composite laminates have shown that crack growth almost always involves the three classical modes of crack propagation: mode I, or opening; mode II, or sliding; and mode III, or tearing. Therefore, delamination growth is more properly described by using a mixed-mode growth criterion, whose application requires the energy release rate to be split into the sum of the contributions, \( G_I, G_{II}, \) and \( G_{III} \), of the three different propagation modes [8, 9].

The following presents a mechanical model for buckling-driven delamination growth in composite laminates, whereby a laminated plate, affected by an initial delamination and subjected to an in-plane compressive load, is represented as the union of two sublaminates bonded together by an elastic interface. This elastic interface model differs from analogous approaches [10, 11] in that the interface is modelled as a continuous distribution of linear elastic springs acting in both the normal and tangential directions to the interface plane [12, 13]. Hence, the normal and tangential interlaminar stresses exchanged between the sublaminates can be deduced, and the virtual crack closure technique used to derive the contributions of modes I and II to the energy release rate. Finally, the explicit expression for the mode-mixity angle, \( \psi \), can be determined, and a mixed-mode growth criterion applied.

Kirchhoff’s plate theory is used to model the above sublaminates, except for the region of the so-called ‘debonded film’, which is treated as a von Kármán plate in order to account for instability phenomena. Moreover, the elastic constants of the spring distributions, \( k_Z \) and \( k_X \), are chosen in such a way as to reproduce the behaviour of the thin layer of resin joining the laminae together in a real laminate [14]. The system equilibrium is described by a non-linear differential problem, whose explicit solution has been determined in the case of a through-the-width delamination. The lengthy calculations needed to deduce this solution have been omitted here for the sake of brevity: only the final expressions are presented, along with some results in the form of graphs. However, full details can be found in [15] or in [16].

The paper closes with a comparison between the theoretical predictions stemming from the proposed model and some experimental results previously obtained by other authors [17].

2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

2.1. The model
Let us consider a rectangular laminate of length \( 2L \), width \( B \), and thickness \( H \), affected by a central, through-the-width delamination of initial length \( 2a \), located at a depth \( H_f \) from the nearest external surface (fig. 1). A rectangular reference system, \( OXYZ \), is fixed with origin at the centre of the laminate and axes parallel to its edges. We consider the material to be homogeneous and linearly elastic. Let the orthotropy axes be aligned with those of the reference system, and let \( E_X, E_Y, E_Z, G_{XY}, G_{YZ}, G_{ZX}, \nu_{XY}, \nu_{YZ}, \) and \( \nu_{ZX} \) be the material’s elastic constants. Finally, let two compressive loads, \( P \), act in the \( X \)-direction.
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The model conceives of the delaminated plate as the union of two sublaminates, namely the film, included between the delamination plane and the nearest external surface and having a thickness $H_f \leq H/2$, and the substrate, comprised between the delamination plane and the furthermost external surface and having a thickness $H_s = H - H_f$. Each sublamine is further split into a bonded portion and a debonded portion (fig. 2).

We assume that width $B$ is ‘very large’, so that each sublamine can be modelled as a beam-plate, i.e., as a plate with possibly non-zero curvature only in the XZ-plane. Therefore, the ‘reduced’ Young’s modulus $E_X^* = E_X / (1 - \nu_{XZ} \nu_{ZX})$ is introduced, and all calculations to follow refer to a unit width ($B = 1$). Hence, the extensional and bending stiffnesses of the film are $A_f = E_X^* H_f$ and $D_f = E_X^* H_f^3 / 12$, respectively; $A_s = E_X^* H_s$ and $D_s = E_X^* H_s^3 / 12$ are those of the substrate, and $A = E_X^* H$ and $D = E_X^* H^3 / 12$ are those of the base laminate. Moreover, the elastic constants of the normal and tangential springs will be denoted as $k_Z$ and $k_X$, respectively.
2.2. Equilibrium equations

We suppose that the ‘thick column’ hypothesis holds or, in other words, that $D_f \ll D_s$ and the instability phenomenon is basically confined to the region of the debonded film $\Omega_f$. Accordingly, von Kármán’s plate theory can be adopted for modelling $\Omega_f$, whose transverse displacements, $w_f$, can be moderate or large. The classical Kirchhoff plate theory is instead used for the bonded film $\Omega_{fk}$, whose transverse displacements, $w_{fk}$, are considered small. Moreover, the transverse displacements of the substrate, $w_s$ and $w_{sk}$, are wholly neglected, but axial displacements, $u_f$, $u_{fk}$, $u_s$, and $u_{sk}$, are accounted for in all sublaminates.

Under the above assumptions, the resulting differential equations of the equilibrium problem are

$$\frac{\partial^4 w_f}{\partial X^4} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_f}{\partial X^2} = 0, \quad (1a)$$
$$\frac{\partial u_f}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w_f}{\partial X^2} \right)^2 + \frac{P_f}{A_f} = 0, \quad (1b)$$

for the debonded film $\Omega_f$;

$$\frac{\partial^4 w_{fk}}{\partial X^4} + \frac{4}{\mu^4} w_{fk} = 0, \quad (2a)$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 u_{fk}}{\partial X^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \frac{1}{1 + A_f/A_s} (u_{fk} - u_{sk}) = 0, \quad (2b)$$

for the bonded film $\Omega_{fk}$;

$$\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial X} + \frac{P - P_f}{A_s} = 0, \quad (3)$$

for the debonded substrate $\Omega_s$; and

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_{sk}}{\partial X^2} + \frac{1}{\omega^2} \frac{1}{1 + A_s/A_f} (u_{fk} - u_{sk}) = 0, \quad (4)$$

for the bonded substrate $\Omega_{sk}$.

In the above expressions, $P_f$ is the buckling load of the debonded film (to be determined as explained in the following) and $\lambda$, $\mu$ and $\omega$ are auxiliary constants, defined by:

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{D_f}{P_f}, \quad \mu^4 = \frac{4D_f}{k_z}, \quad \omega^2 = \frac{1}{k_x} \frac{1}{1/A_j + 1/A_i}. \quad (5a,b,c)$$

The differential problem is completed by appropriate boundary conditions, which include the symmetry condition on the $YZ$-plane (only a half plate is considered in the calculations) and the clamped-end condition at the loaded end of the laminate.
3. SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

3.1. Equilibrium in the post-critical phase
The stated equilibrium problem can be solved completely in explicit form [15, 16]. For brevity’s sake, here we limit ourselves to presenting the final expressions only. In particular, the expressions for the *transverse displacements* of the film in the post-critical phase are

\[ w_f = A_f \left( \cos \frac{X}{\lambda} + d_f \right), \]  
(6a)

\[ w_R = A_f \left[ a_R \cos \frac{X-a}{\mu} + b_R \sinh \frac{X-a}{\mu} \right] \cos \frac{X-a}{\mu} + \]
\[ + \left( c_R \cosh \frac{X-a}{\mu} + d_R \sinh \frac{X-a}{\mu} \right) \sin \frac{X-a}{\mu}, \]
(6b)

where \( A_f \) is the amplitude of the sinusoid representing the transverse displacement of \( \Omega_f \), and \( d_f, a_R, b_R, c_R, \) and \( d_R \) are dimensionless integration constants (see [13] for their expressions).

Likewise, the expressions for the *axial displacements* in the four sublaminates are

\[ u_f = -\frac{P_C}{A} X - \frac{A_f^2}{8\lambda} \left( \frac{2X}{\lambda} - \sin \frac{2X}{\lambda} \right), \]  
(7a)

\[ u_R = -\frac{P}{A} X + \frac{P - P_C}{A} \left( a \cosh \frac{X-a}{\omega} + \omega \sinh \frac{X-a}{\omega} \right) + \]
\[ -\frac{A_f^2}{8\lambda} \left( \frac{2a}{\lambda} - \sin \frac{2a}{\lambda} \right) \left( A_f \cosh \frac{X-a}{\omega} + \frac{A_f}{\omega} \right), \]
(7b)

\[ u_s = -\frac{1}{A_f} \left( P - \frac{A_f}{A} P_C \right) X, \]  
(7c)

\[ u_k = -\frac{P}{A} X - \frac{A_f}{A_s} \left( a \cosh \frac{X-a}{\omega} + \omega \sinh \frac{X-a}{\omega} \right) + \]
\[ + \frac{A_f}{A} \frac{A_s}{8\lambda} \left( \frac{2a}{\lambda} - \sin \frac{2a}{\lambda} \right) \left( \cosh \frac{X-a}{\omega} - 1 \right), \]
(7d)

where \( P_C = P_f (A / A_f) \) is the *buckling load of the delaminated plate*, i.e., the load applied to the laminate upon incipient buckling of the debonded film. By putting expressions (6) and (7) into the boundary conditions, a set of linear homogeneous algebraic equations for the six unknown integration constants is obtained. For a nontrivial solution to exist, its determinant,

\[ \det R = -\frac{2}{L-a} \sec^2 \frac{L-a}{\mu} \left\{ 2\frac{L-a}{\mu} \left[ \sin \frac{L-a}{\mu} \cos \frac{L-a}{\mu} + \tanh \frac{L-a}{\mu} \right] \cos \frac{a}{\lambda} + \right\} \]
\[ + \left[ 2\frac{L-a}{\mu} \cos \frac{L-a}{\mu} + 2\frac{L-a}{\mu} + 2\frac{L-a}{\mu} \right] \sin \frac{a}{\lambda}, \]

is solved.
must vanish. Thus, the buckling load, $P_C$, is found numerically by imposing $\text{det } \mathbf{R} = 0$.

Next, the integration constants can be determined explicitly and, in particular, the amplitude of the film’s transverse displacement,

$$A_f = \frac{8\lambda}{\sqrt{2a/\lambda - \sin(2a/\lambda)}} \left( a + \omega \tanh \frac{L - a}{\omega} \right) \frac{P - P_C}{A_s},$$

which is zero throughout the pre-critical phase, becomes an increasing function of the applied load only after buckling has occurred.

For the purposes of illustration, the subsequent numerical values have been chosen to render the figures provided: $L = 100$ mm, $H = 10$ mm and $H_f = 1$ mm; $E_X = 54$ GPa, $E_Y = 18$ GPa, $G_{XY} = 9$ GPa and $\nu_{XY} = 0.250$. Moreover, loads have been made dimensionless by dividing by the Euler load, $P_{EUL} = \frac{\pi^2 D}{L^2} = 4535.8$ N/mm (which is the buckling load of the undamaged plate), and the delamination half-length, $a$, has been divided by the plate length, $L$.

The curves in figure 3a represent the buckling load, $P_C$, as a function of the delamination half-length, $a$, for a range of values of the normal spring constant, $k_Z$. As expected, $P_C$ is a decreasing function of $a$. As $al \to 0$ (no delamination), the predicted buckling loads tend to infinity, a consequence of having neglected the instability of the bonded film. However, values of $P_C/P_{EUL} > 1$ have no physical meaning and must therefore be excluded. Instead, as $al \to 1$ (complete delamination), $P_C \to P_f^L (A/A_f)$, where $P_f^L = \frac{\pi^2 D_f}{L^2} = 4.5$ N/mm is the buckling load of the completely debonded film.

Moving on to examine the post-critical behaviour, we assume a fixed delamination half-length, $a = 20$ mm. Figure 3b shows the applied load vs. the transverse displacement of the mid-span section of the debonded film, $w_f(0)$, made dimensionless by dividing by $H_f$. A range of values for the normal spring constant, $k_Z$, has been considered, while the tangential spring constant has been set at $k_X = 2/3 k_Z$. 

Figure 3 – Post-critical equilibrium: a) buckling load of the delaminated plate vs. delamination half-length; b) applied load vs. mid-span transverse displacement of the film.
It is worth noting that as \( k_Z \rightarrow \infty \), the predictions of the elastic interface model (blue curves) approach those of the thick column model (TCM) \([6]\) (red curves). The elastic constants of the interface can be chosen as

\[
k_Z = \frac{E_r}{t}, \quad \text{and} \quad k_X = \frac{2G_r}{t},
\]

(10)

where \( E_r \) and \( G_r \) are the elasticity moduli of the resin, and \( t \) is the thickness of the lamina \([14]\). In the following, we have assumed \( E_r = 3.5 \text{ GPa}, G_r = 1.3 \text{ GPa} \) and \( t = 0.15 \text{ mm} \). In general, it is reasonable to expect that \( k_Z = 10^4 \div 10^6 \text{ N/mm}^3 \) and \( k_X / k_Z = 2/3 \div 1 \).

3.2. Delamination growth

Once the transverse and axial displacement have been determined, it is possible to arrive at the explicit expressions for the normal and tangential interlaminar stresses:

\[
\sigma_{ZZ} = k_Z A_f \left[ \left( a_R \cosh \frac{X-a}{\mu} + b_R \sinh \frac{X-a}{\mu} \right) \cos \frac{X-a}{\mu} + \right.
\]

\[
+ \left. \left( c_R \cosh \frac{X-a}{\mu} + d_R \sinh \frac{X-a}{\mu} \right) \sin \frac{X-a}{\mu} \right],
\]

(11a)

\[
\tau_{ZX} = -k_X \frac{P-P_C}{A_f} \omega \left( \tanh \frac{L-a}{\omega} - \cosh \frac{X-a}{\omega} - \sinh \frac{X-a}{\omega} \right),
\]

(11b)

Figures 4a and 4b, respectively, show the two foregoing stress components as functions of the abscissa, \( X \), for increasing load levels. Both components reach a maximum at the delamination front and then undergo rapid decay as \( X \) increases.

Griffith’s classical crack growth criterion, however, is not expressed directly in terms of interlaminar stresses. Rather, it assumes that crack growth takes place when the energy release rate, \( G = -\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial a} \) (where \( \Pi \) is the total potential energy of the system) reaches a critical value, \( G_C \). In its original and simplest formulation, \( G_C \) is a material constant to be determined by experiment. Nevertheless, for anisotropic and inhomogeneous materials, such
as composite laminates, it is an established fact that experimental determinations of $G_C$ are markedly dependent on the propagation mode (I or opening, II or sliding, III or tearing) operative in the test performed. Actually, the critical value measured in a pure mode-III test, $G_{III C}$, is usually greater than that obtained in a pure mode-II test, $G_{II C}$, which may, in turn, be much greater than the value measured in a pure mode-I test, $G_{I C}$.

Under mixed-mode conditions, i.e., when all propagation modes are simultaneously operative, an intermediate value of $G_C$ is to be expected, depending on which mode prevails. In these cases, a so-called mixed-mode criterion is to be adopted by considering $G_C$ to be, rather than a constant, a function of the relative amount of the different propagation modes. For plane problems, for which mode III is irrelevant, a possible definition of the critical energy release rate is:

$$G_C(\psi) = \frac{G_{I C}}{1 + (\lambda_2 - 1) \sin^2 \psi},$$

where the ratio $\lambda_2 = G_{I C} / G_{II C}$ has been introduced, together with the mode-mixity angle,

$$\psi = \arctan \left( \frac{\tau_{ZX}(a)}{\sigma_{ZZ}(a)} \right),$$

which conventionally measures the relative amount of mode II with respect to mode I, by ranging from $0^\circ$ (pure mode-I conditions) to $90^\circ$ (pure mode-II conditions) [9].

![Figure 5 – Mixed-mode criterion: a) mode-mixity angle; b) critical energy release rate.](image)

A main difficulty in modelling the processes of combined delamination buckling and growth is that the mode mixity is not fixed once and for all, but undergoes a characteristic evolution. As a fundamental result of the present model, the contour plot of $\psi$ has been obtained (fig. 5a): it shows that the mode-mixity angle is zero upon incipient buckling (red curve) and then increases as either the applied load or the delamination length grows. Hence, a transition from the opening (I) to the sliding mode (II) occurs as the process itself develops.

Figure 5b represents the contour plot of the mixed-mode critical energy release rate, computed according to definition (12) and assuming $G_{I C} = 100$ J/m$^2$ and $G_{II C} = 1000$ J/m$^2$. It should be stressed that upon buckling (red curve), pure mode-I conditions exist and,
consequently, \( G_C (\psi) = G_{IC} \); instead, as \( \psi \) increases, \( G_C (\psi) \) increases significantly as well (for \( \psi = 90^\circ \), it would be equal to \( G_{II} \)).

The potential energy release rate, \( G \), now remains to be determined. According to the virtual crack closure technique, \( G \) is the sum of the modal contributions,

\[
G_I = \lim_{\Delta a \to 0} \frac{1}{2\Delta a} \int^{\Delta a + a}_{-\Delta a} \Delta \hat{u} \left( \hat{X} - \Delta a \right) \sigma_{XX}^* (X) \, dX, \tag{14a}
\]

\[
G_{II} = \lim_{\Delta a \to 0} \frac{1}{2\Delta a} \int^{\Delta a + a}_{-\Delta a} \Delta \hat{u} \left( \hat{X} - \Delta a \right) \tau_{XX}^* (X) \, dX, \tag{14b}
\]

where the hat (^) refers to a plate in which the delamination has experienced a ‘virtual’ growth by a length \( \Delta a \), and the asterisk (*) denotes the effective system. By substituting relations (6), (7) and (11) into (14) and performing the calculations, the following results are obtained:

\[
G_I = \frac{1}{2} k_z a^2 \frac{8\lambda}{2a/\lambda - \sin(2a/\lambda)} \left( a + \frac{L - a}{\omega} \right) \left( P - P_C \right), \tag{15a}
\]

\[
G_{II} = \frac{1}{2} k_x \left( \frac{\omega \tanh \frac{L - a}{\omega} P - P_C}{A_i} \right)^2. \tag{15b}
\]

Figures 6a and 6b show the contour plots of the mode-I and II contributions to the energy release rate, \( G_I \) and \( G_{II} \), respectively. Clear differences emerge in the qualitative trends of the two families of curves. In fact, along the \( G_I \)-contour lines, the applied load, \( P \), is initially a decreasing function of \( a \); it then reaches a minimum and afterwards becomes an increasing function. Consequently, if a pure mode-I growth criterion were assumed (\( G_I = G_{IC} \)), then stable growth would be predicted for delamination lengths greater than a certain value, corresponding to that minimum. On the contrary, along the \( G_{II} \)-contour lines, the applied load, \( P \), is a decreasing function of \( a \), nearly up to \( a = L \), so that a pure mode-II criterion (\( G_{II} = G_{II} \)) would predict unstable growth until complete delamination ensues.

![Figure 6](image.png)

Figure 6 – Potential energy release rate: a) mode-I contribution; b) mode-II contribution.

Likewise, along the contour lines of the total energy release rate, \( G = G_I + G_{II} \) (fig. 7a), the applied load, \( P \), is initially a decreasing function of \( a \), and then reaches a minimum, after
which it becomes an increasing function. However, in this case the increasing trend is very weak, so that adopting Griffith’s classical growth criterion \( G = G_C = \text{const.} \) would predict \textit{stable growth}, but only in theory, as very small increments in \( P \) would suffice to promote complete delamination.

Figure 7b shows two curves summarising the main results obtained so far through the proposed model: the red is the \textit{buckling curve}, defined by \( P = P_C(a) \), which indicates the beginning of instability phenomena; the blue is the \textit{growth curve}, defined by \( G = G_C(\psi) \), which represents the process of delamination growth. Two important values of the delamination half-length have been highlighted in the figure: \( a_1 \), at which \( P_C = P_{EUL} \), and \( a_2 \), at which the applied load along the growth curve reaches a minimum. The mechanical interpretation of these values is as follows: if the length of the delamination is such that \( a < a_1 \), local buckling phenomena and related delamination growth are not to be expected (although global instability can still occur!); if, on the other hand, \( a_1 < a < a_2 \), delamination buckling and growth will both be possible, the latter resulting in an unstable process; finally, if \( a_2 < a \), then delamination buckling and growth will once again be possible, but the latter will be a stable process.

**4. CONCLUDING REMARKS**

In the foregoing we have set forth a mechanical model, termed the \textit{elastic interface model}, for buckling-driven delamination growth in composite laminates. Despite its apparent simplicity, which enables arriving at an explicit solution, the model appears able to furnish a number of relevant predictions regarding both the system equilibrium in the pre- and post-critical phases, and the process of delamination growth and its stability, while also accounting for mixed-mode propagation.

However, considering, amongst other aspects, the many simplifying assumptions introduced, one might suspect the foregoing results to be merely theoretical and, therefore, of little practical interest as far as applications are concerned. In order to mitigate such doubts, the model’s predictions have been subjected to a first validation through comparison with some available experimental data.
For the sake of this comparison, figure 8a has been drawn from a wide-ranging experimental study on graphite-epoxy laminates affected by through-the-width delaminations [17]. The figure shows the strains, $\varepsilon_f$ and $\varepsilon_s$, for increasing load levels as measured by two strain-gauges glued to opposite sides of a specimen, corresponding to the film and the substrate, respectively. Three phases of the specimen’s response can be clearly discerned: in the first phase, relative to pre-critical behaviour, the load-strain curve is almost linear; thereafter, a bifurcation point is reached and a second phase, corresponding to post-critical behaviour, follows; finally, a third phase, associated with delamination growth, is observed, where abrupt discontinuities in the strain measures appear prior to failure of the specimen.

![Figure 8](image1)

**Figure 8** – Load-strain plot for a small delamination ($2a = 19.05$ mm): a) experimental; b) theoretical.

Figure 8b shows the predictions of the elastic interface model for the same case using the numerical values adopted in the cited paper: $2L = 50.8$ mm, $B = 25.4$ mm, $H = 2.54$ mm and $H_f = 0.51$ mm; $E_X = 139.3$ GPa, $E_Y = 9.72$ GPa, $G_{XY} = 5.59$ GPa and $\nu_{XY} = 0.29$; $E_r = 3.5$ GPa, $G_r = 1.3$ GPa and $t = 0.127$ mm; $G_{IC} = 193$ J/m$^2$ and $G_{II C} = 455$ J/m$^2$. Moreover, in line with classical laminated plate theory, $A_f = 71182$ N/mm and $D_f = 1531$ N mm$^2$, and relations (10) yield $k_2 = 28000$ N/mm$^3$ and $k_3 = 20000$ N/mm$^3$. The theoretical predictions match the experimental data both qualitatively and quantitatively. There is, in particular, a very good correspondence between the two determinations of the bifurcation point. Finally, it is worth noting that during the growth phase, a sort of snap-through phenomenon is predicted, which might serve as an explanation for the discontinuities in the strain-gauge measures.

![Figure 9](image2)

**Figure 9** – Load-strain plot for a large delamination ($2a = 38.10$ mm): a) experimental; b) theoretical.
Figures 9a and 9b refer to a larger initial delamination length. Once again in this case, the theoretical and experimental results agree quite closely. The main discrepancy regards the values reported by the strain-gauge fixed on the thicker side of the laminate: because of global buckling, during the post-critical phase the measured strains retrieve a part of the compressive (negative) deformation and, after a certain load level, even become positive. Such behaviour cannot be foreseen by the model, which completely disregards bending of the substrate.

The last aspect, as well as many others such as a more general plate geometry and a stronger material anisotropy, no doubt deserves further investigation. However, the promising results obtained up to now give good reason to hope in the success of future studies.
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